

SEIFERT FIBERED HOMOLOGY SPHERES WITH TRIVIAL HEEGAARD FLOER HOMOLOGY

EAMAN EFTEKHARY

ABSTRACT. We show that among Seifert fibered integer homology spheres, Poincaré sphere (with either orientation) is the only non-trivial example which has trivial Heegaard Floer homology. Together with an earlier result, this shows that if an integer homology sphere has trivial Heegaard Floer homology, then it is a connected sum of a number of Poincaré spheres and hyperbolic homology spheres.

1. INTRODUCTION

Heegaard Floer homology, introduced by Ozsváth and Szabó in [OS1], is an invariant of closed three-manifolds which has been relatively powerful in distinguishing different manifolds from each other. Certain versions of these invariants (hat version), which come in the form of graded abelian groups, may be computed combinatorially by the result of Sarkar and Wang [SW]. The advantage over the fundamental group is that it is immediate after computation to decide whether the corresponding groups of two three-manifolds agree or not, unlike non-abelian groups where identification of different presentations of the group is a hard problem on its own.

It is interesting to ask a question similar to Poincaré conjecture about Heegaard Floer homology. *Is there any non-trivial three-manifold with trivial Heegaard Floer homology (i.e. Heegaard Floer homology of S^3)?* Since Heegaard Floer homology package has a decomposition according to Spin^c structures, this extra structure allows us to distinguish non-homology spheres from the standard sphere. Yet, even among the integer homology spheres, one quickly finds a counterexample: for the Poincaré sphere P , we have $\widehat{\text{HF}}(P) = \widehat{\text{HF}}(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}$. The homological gradings on the two sides differ, but if we set $\tilde{P} = -P \# P$, there is an isomorphism of graded modules $\widehat{\text{HF}}(\tilde{P}) = \widehat{\text{HF}}(S^3) = (\mathbb{Z})_0$. The same would be true for $P(n) = \#^n \tilde{P}$. But, are there any other examples?

Conjecture. *If for a homology sphere Y , the Heegaard Floer homology package (including the groups and their gradings) is isomorphic to the Heegaard Floer homology package of the sphere S^3 , Y is either the standard*

Key words and phrases. Floer homology, Seifert fibered, homology spheres.

sphere or homeomorphic to one of $P(n)$ for some positive integer n .

This may sound quite unlikely; once we find one counter-example (i.e. \tilde{P}), it is naively expected that many more counter-examples may be constructed. However, we will provide strong evidence for the above conjecture. Namely, we will prove the following theorem, and a corollary of it which follows.

Theorem 1.1. *If Y is a Seifert fibered integer homology sphere and $\widehat{\text{HF}}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$, then Y is either the standard sphere, or the Poincaré sphere with one of the two possible orientations.*

If Y is a homology sphere with trivial Heegaard Floer homology, and $Y = Y_1 \# \dots \# Y_n$ is the prime decomposition of Y , then all Y_i are prime homology spheres with $\widehat{\text{HF}}(Y_i) = \mathbb{Z}$, by connected sum formula of Ozsváth and Szabó [OS2]. Splicing formulas of the author in [Ef2] and the resulting surgery formulas of [Ef3] may be used to show that no Y_i can contain an incompressible torus (this is the main theorem of [Ef1]). As a result, all Y_i are geometric homology spheres, according to Thurston's geometrization conjecture ([Thu]), which is now Perelman's (and Morgan-Tian's) theorem ([Per], also see [MT1] and [MT2]). Suppose that Y_i is hyperbolic for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and has one of the other 7 geometries for $i > m$. Then the above theorem implies that each Y_i for $i > m$ is a Poincaré sphere, since all geometric homology spheres are either hyperbolic or Seifert fibered. The above discussion may be re-stated as the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *If Y is a prime homology sphere with $\widehat{\text{HF}}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$ and Y is not one of S^3, P or $-P$, it is a hyperbolic three-manifold.*

Thus, in order to prove the conjecture, we now need to prove the following reduced form.

Conjecture (reduced form). *If Y is a hyperbolic homology sphere, the rank of $\widehat{\text{HF}}(Y)$ is bigger than 1.*

In the following section, we will recall the link between Seifert fibered homology spheres and plumbed three-manifolds. We will also quote the result of Ozsváth and Szabó on computing Heegaard Floer homology of plumbed three-manifolds, from [OS4]. Certain lemmas about plumbing diagrams of the standard sphere are proved in section 3, which are used in section 4 to show that the number of generators for hat Heegaard Floer homology of Y in the algorithm of Ozsváth and Szabó is at least 2, if the Seifert fibered homology sphere Y is not the standard sphere or the Poincaré sphere.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Yi Ni for some helpful discussions.

2. SEIFERT FIBERED HOMOLOGY SPHERES AND PLUMBING DIAGRAMS

All oriented Seifert fibered homology spheres may be realized as the three-manifolds appearing in the boundary of the four-manifolds obtained by plumbing disk bundles according to negative definite star-like graphs. Thus, Heegaard Floer homology of such manifolds may be computed using the algorithm of Ozsváth and Szabó in [OS4]. We recall the definition and construction of [OS4] in this section.

A graph G equipped with an integer valued weight function m on its vertices is called a weighted graph. A weighted graph G gives a four-manifold $X(G)$ which is obtained by plumbing disk bundles over spheres, corresponding to the vertices of G , according to the pattern of the graph G . The disk bundle over the sphere corresponding to the vertex v is chosen so that its Euler number is $m(v)$. The sphere associated with v is plumbed to the sphere associated with w precisely when the two vertices are connected in G by an edge. The boundary of $X(G)$ is a closed three-manifold which will be denoted by $Y(G)$. The homology group $H_2(X(G), \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by vertices of G and the intersection number $[v].[w]$ is $m(v)$ if $v = w$, is 1 if v and w are connected in G by an edge and $v \neq w$, and is zero otherwise. This gives an intersection matrix $M(G)$. A weighted graph is negative definite if it is a disjoint union of trees and $M(G)$ is negative definite. A bad vertex is a vertex v such that $m(v) > -d(v)$, where $d(v)$ is the degree of v . Ozsváth and Szabó give an algorithm for computing $\text{HF}^+(Y(G))$ from the combinatorics of the weighted graph G when G is a negative definite graph with at most one bad vertex. In particular, they have a relatively easy algorithm for computing the kernel of the shift map

$$U : \text{HF}^+(Y(G); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{HF}^+(Y(G); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that when $\widehat{\text{HF}}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$ for a 3-manifold Y , from the homology long exact sequence (see [OS2])

$$\dots \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{HF}}(Y) \longrightarrow \text{HF}^+(Y) \xrightarrow{U} \text{HF}^+(Y) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{HF}}(Y) \longrightarrow \dots$$

and stabilization properties of $\text{HF}^+(Y)$ we may conclude that $\text{Ker}(U) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Define an *association* to be a map $n : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, where $V(G)$ is the set of vertices of G , such that $n(v)$ has the same parity as $m(v)$ and $|n(v)| \leq -m(v)$. An association is *initial* if $m(v) < n(v) \leq -m(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$, and is *final* if $m(v) \leq n(v) < -m(v)$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. We may *change* an association n to an association n' if there is some vertex v such that the following three conditions are satisfied:

- 1) $-n(v) = n'(v) = m(v)$,
- 2) $n'(w) = n(w) + 2$ for all $w \in V(G)$ which are connected to v by an edge,
- 3) $n(w) = n'(w)$ for all other vertices of G .

A *good sequence* is a sequence n_0, \dots, n_N of associations such that n_0 is initial and n_N is final, and such that n_i may be changed to n_{i+1} as above, for $0 \leq i < N$. Ozsváth and Szabó show that the kernel of U is generated by initial associations which may be completed to good sequences, if G is a negative definite graph with at most one bad vertex. We will use this description of the kernel of the shift map on Heegaard Floer homology groups in the upcoming sections.

Seifert fibered homology spheres are given as $Y(G)$, where G is a start-shape graph, with a central vertex v and tails w_j^i of vertices, where $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $j = 1, \dots, p_i$, such that w_1^i is connected by an edge to v and each w_j^i is connected to w_{j-1}^i by an edge for $j > 1$ (and there is no other edge). Denote $m(w_j^i)$ by m_j^i and $m(v)$ by m . Note that m and all m_j^i are negative. Since a -1 -sphere corresponding to a vertex with at most two neighbors in the graph may be blown down in the four-manifold $X(G)$ without changing the boundary $Y(G)$, we may assume that $m_j^i < -1$ for all i, j . Thus, the graph would have at most one bad vertex, which is the vertex v . Define $\frac{a_i}{b_i} = [m_1^i; m_2^i; \dots; m_{p_i}^i]$ where

$$(1) \quad [m_1; m_2; \dots; m_p] := m_1 - \frac{1}{m_2 - \frac{1}{\ddots - \frac{1}{m_{p-1} - \frac{1}{m_p}}}}.$$

In order for the resulting three-manifold $Y(G)$ to be a Seifert fibered manifold, this data should satisfy the following equation

$$(2) \quad a_1 a_2 \dots a_k \left(-m + \frac{b_1}{a_1} + \frac{b_2}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{b_k}{a_k} \right) = 1,$$

and if this is the case, the corresponding three-manifold is denoted by $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_k)$. The assumption that $Y(G)$ is a homology sphere is equivalent to $\det(M(G)) = \pm 1$. A weighted graph G of the above form will be called a negative definite star-like graph with n rays. All homology Seifert fibered manifolds may be obtained in this way (see [Sav]).

If Heegaard Floer homology of $Y(G)$ is trivial (and consequently, the kernel of $U : \text{HF}^+(Y(G)) \rightarrow \text{HF}^+(Y(G))$ is 1-dimensional), there is a unique initial association which may be completed to a good sequence associated with the negative definite graph G . In other words, the initial element in all good sequences is the same. If this is the case and $m < -1$, we will have $m(w) \leq -2$ for all vertices $w \in V(G)$ and any association $n : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with $m(w) < n(w) < -m(w)$ for all $w \in V(G)$ is a good sequence of length one (i.e. n is both initial and final). The interval $[m(w) + 2, 2 - m(w)]$ consists of $-1 - m(w)$ elements with the same parity as $m(w)$. This implies

that the rank of $\text{Ker}(U)$ is at least equal to

$$d(G) = \prod_{w \in V(G)} (-1 - m(w)) \geq 1.$$

If Heegaard Floer homology of $Y(G)$ is trivial, we should have $d(G) = 1$, which implies that $m(w) = -2$ for all $w \in V(G)$, i.e. all m_j^i are equal to -2 . With the above notation, we will have $\frac{a_i}{b_i} = -\frac{p_i+1}{p_i}$. Thus, equation 2 reads as follows

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 - \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1+1} + \frac{p_2}{p_2+1} + \dots + \frac{p_n}{p_n+1} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{(p_1+1)(p_2+1)\dots(p_n+1)} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{p_i}{p_i+1} < 1$, we should have $n = 3$. It is then an easy exercise to check that the only possible triple (p_1, p_2, p_3) with $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq p_3$ satisfying the above equation is $(1, 2, 4)$ which gives $P = \Sigma(2, 3, 5)$ as $Y(G)$.

If $Y(G)$ is not the standard sphere or the Poincaré sphere, and Heegaard Floer homology of $Y(G)$ is trivial, we may thus assume that $m = -1$. Since -1 -spheres with at most two neighbors may be blown down in a plumbing diagram, after simplification we may assume that $n \geq 3$. In order to show that for no such negative definite graph G the Heegaard Floer homology of $Y(G)$ is trivial, we will study the combinatorics of certain plumbing diagrams for S^3 in the upcoming section.

3. PLUMBING DIAGRAMS OF S^3

In this section, we will consider star-like plumbing diagrams of S^3 of the type described in the previous section, with 2 rays and $m = -1$. These correspond to pairs of negative rational numbers $(\frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2})$, with $a_1, a_2 > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad 1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1} + \frac{b_2}{a_2} = \frac{1}{a_1 a_2}.$$

This equation implies that precisely one of the two negative rational numbers a_1/b_1 and a_2/b_2 is greater than or equal to -2 (or equivalently, that one of the two negative rational numbers b_1/a_1 and b_2/a_2 is less than or equal to $-1/2$ and the other one is greater than $-1/2$). If $a_1/b_1 = -2 = [-2]$, it is easy to see that $a_2 = 1 - 2b_2$ and $a_2/b_2 = [-3; -2, \dots, -2]$, where the number of (-2) s in this continued fraction representation is equal to $-b_2 - 1$. Suppose that the quadruple (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) satisfies equation 4, and $a_1/b_1 > -2$. It may be checked that under this assumption, the quadruple $(a'_1, b'_1, a'_2, b'_2) = (-b_1, 2b_1 + a_1, a_2 + b_2, b_2)$ would be a new solution to equation 4. Since we assume that a_i are both positive and both b_i are negative, both a'_i would be positive and both b'_i would be negative. Since $b_1/a_1 > -1$, we should have $0 < a'_1 < a_1$ and $0 < a'_2 = a_2 + b_2 < a_2$. This implies that

the new quadruple is *simpler*, in a sense, than the old quadruple. In terms of the continued fractions, the above operation may be described as follows. If $a_1/b_1 = [t_1; \dots; t_p]$ and $a_2/b_2 = [s_1; \dots; s_q]$, the assumption $a_1/b_1 \geq -2$ implies that $t_1 = -2$, and the assumption $a_2/b_2 < -2$ implies that $s_1 < -2$. Then we would have $a'_1/b'_1 = [t_2; t_3; \dots; t_p]$ and $a'_2/b'_2 = [s_1+1; s_2; \dots; s_q]$. The new graph G' corresponds to a new four-manifold $X(G')$ which is obtained from $X(G)$ by blowing down a -1 -sphere corresponding to the vertex v . The boundary $Y(G')$ remains the same as $Y(G) = S^3$. We may thus check some of the claims about such plumbing diagrams by a simple induction. To do so, we should prove the claim for the quadruples $(2, -1, 1 + 2k, -k)$, with $k \geq 1$, and prove that if the claim is true for $(a'_1; b'_1; a'_2; b'_2)$, it would be true for $(a_1; b_1; a_2; b_2)$. The proof of the following lemma is an example of such inductions.

Lemma 3.1. *If $a_1/b_1 = [t_1; \dots; t_p]$ and $a_2/b_2 = [s_1; \dots; s_q]$ satisfy equation 4 then*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{[t_1; \dots; t_{p-1}; t_p + 1]} + \frac{1}{[s_1; \dots; s_q]} &\leq -1, \quad \& \\ \frac{1}{[t_1; \dots; t_p]} + \frac{1}{[s_1; \dots; s_{q-1}; s_q + 1]} &\leq -1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction. For the quadruple $(2, -1, 2k + 1, -k)$ we have $p = 1$, $q = k$, $t_1 = -2$, $s_1 = -3$, and $s_2 = \dots = s_k = -2$, and both claims are easy to check. Now suppose that the claim is true for $(a'_1; b'_1; a'_2; b'_2)$, and that $a_1/b_1 = [t_1; \dots; t_p]$ and $a_2/b_2 = [s_1; \dots; s_q]$ are as above. Moreover, without loss of generality, assume that $t_1 = -2$ and that $a'_1/b'_1 = [t_2; t_3; \dots; t_p]$ and $a'_2/b'_2 = [s_1+1; s_2; \dots; s_q]$. Let $u = [t_2; \dots; t_{p-1}; t_p + 1]$ and $w = [s_2; \dots; s_q]$. Letting $s = s_1$ we should thus have (from the induction hypothesis)

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{s + 1 - \frac{1}{w}} &\leq -1 \\ \Rightarrow (s+2)uw + (s+1)w &\geq 1 + u. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the claim by induction, we should show

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{-2 - \frac{1}{u}} + \frac{1}{s - \frac{1}{w}} &\leq -1 \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{u}{2u+1} + \frac{w}{1-sw} &\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(s+2)uw + (s+1)w \geq 1 + u$ by equation 6, the second inequality in equation 7 is satisfied, and thus, so does the first inequality. The proof of the second inequality in the statement of the lemma is identical. We just need to set $u = [t_2; \dots; t_p]$ and $w = [s_2; \dots; s_{q-1}, s_q + 1]$. \square

Suppose now that the negative definite two-ray star-like graph G is given, and $a_1/b_1 = [t_1; \dots; t_p]$ and $a_2/b_2 = [s_1; \dots; s_q]$ are the continued fractions corresponding to the two rays, as above, so that $Y(G)$ is the standard sphere. Since the kernel of the map $U : \text{HF}^+(S^3) \rightarrow \text{HF}^+(S^3)$ is generated by a unique element, every good sequence associated with the weighted graph G should start with a unique initial association. Denote one such good sequence by n_0, n_1, \dots, n_N , where $n_i : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is an association for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. So in particular, every other good association should start with n_0 too. If $n : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is an initial association so that $n(w) \leq n_0(w)$ for all $w \in V(G)$, it is easy to show that the sequence n_0, \dots, n_N may be modified to a good sequence $n = n'_0, n'_1, \dots, n'_M$ for some $M \leq N$. The uniqueness of the initial association in the good sequences thus implies that there is no initial association n with $n \leq n_0$ and $n \neq n_0$, i.e. $n_0(w) = 2 + m(w)$ for all $w \in V(G)$. On the other hand, if n_0, \dots, n_N is a good sequence, so is $-n_N, -n_{N-1}, \dots, -n_0$. Again, as a consequence of the uniqueness of the initial association of good sequences, we have $-n_N = n_0$. Thus $n_N(w) = -m(w) - 2$ for all $w \in V(G)$.

When we change n_{i-1} to n_i there is a unique vertex $w_i \in V(G)$ with the property that $n_{i-1}(w_i) = -m(w_i)$ and $n_i(w_i) = m(w_i)$. We will call the sequence w_1, \dots, w_N the *sequence of vertices* associated with the good sequence n_0, \dots, n_N .

Lemma 3.2. *If the graph G is a negative definite star-like graph with two rays corresponding to the pair of negative rational numbers $(a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2)$ with $a_1, a_2 > 0$ and with vertices $v, w_1^1, \dots, w_p^1, w_1^2, \dots, w_q^2$ as above, the number of times the vertex v appears in the sequence of vertices w_1, \dots, w_N associated with any good sequence is equal to $a_1 + a_2 - 1$.*

Proof. If $n, n' : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are two given functions, define $\langle n | n' \rangle = \sum_{w \in V(G)} n(w) \cdot n'(w)$. Let $A_i/B_i = [t_i; \dots; t_p]$ and $C_j/D_j = [s_j; \dots; s_q]$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, \dots, q$. Thus $A_1/B_1 = a_1/b_1$ and $C_1/D_1 = a_2/b_2$. Assume that $A_i, C_i > 0$ and $B_i, D_i < 0$. Define $n(w_i^1) := C_1 B_i$, $n(w_j^2) := A_1 D_j$, and $n(v) = -A_1 C_1$. We may then compute $\langle n | n_k \rangle - \langle n | n_{k-1} \rangle$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$ as follows:

- If $w_k = w_i^1$ for some $i = 2, \dots, p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\langle n | n_k \rangle - \langle n | n_{k-1} \rangle) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in V(G)} n(w)(n_k(w) - n_{k-1}(w)) \\
 &= n(w_i^1)m(w_i^1) + n(w_{i-1}^1) + n(w_{i+1}^1) \\
 &= C_1(t_i B_i + B_{i-1} + B_{i+1}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where it is understood that $B_{p+1} = 0$. From the definition of A_ℓ and B_ℓ , it is clear that $\frac{A_\ell}{B_\ell} = t_\ell - \frac{B_{\ell+1}}{A_{\ell+1}}$ and thus $B_\ell = -A_{\ell+1}$ and $A_\ell = -t_\ell A_{\ell+1} + B_{\ell+1}$ for all ℓ . Combining these two relations, we obtain $t_i B_i + B_{i-1} + B_{i+1} = 0$

for $i = 2, \dots, p$ and $\langle n|n_k \rangle = \langle n|n_{k-1} \rangle$ in this case.

- If $w_k = w_1^1$, we would have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\langle n|n_k \rangle - \langle n|n_{k-1} \rangle) &= n(w_1^1)m(w_1^1) + n(v) + n(w_2^1) \\ &= C_1(t_1B_1 - A_1 + B_2), \end{aligned}$$

and $t_1B_1 - A_1 + B_2$ is zero with the same reasoning. Thus $\langle n|n_k \rangle = \langle n|n_{k-1} \rangle$.

- If $w_k = w_j^2$ for some $j = 1, \dots, q$, we would have $\langle n|n_k \rangle = \langle n|n_{k-1} \rangle$ with a similar argument.

- If $w_k = v$, it is implied that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\langle n|n_k \rangle - \langle n|n_{k-1} \rangle) &= n(v)m(v) + n(w_1^1) + n(w_2^2) \\ &= A_1C_1 + C_1B_1 + A_1D_1 \\ &= a_1a_2 + a_2b_1 + a_1b_2 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained from equation 4.

The above computations imply that whenever v appears as w_k in w_1, \dots, w_N , the value of $\langle n|n_k \rangle$ in comparison with $\langle n|n_{k-1} \rangle$ jumps by 2. Thus, the number of times v appears in the sequence of vertices w_1, \dots, w_k is equal to $\frac{1}{2}(\langle n|n_N \rangle - \langle n|n_0 \rangle)$. Since $n_0(w) = -n_N(w) = 2 + m(w)$ for all $w \in V(G)$, we may compute

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle n|n_N \rangle - \langle n|n_0 \rangle &= \sum_{w \in V(G)} n(w)(n_N(w) - n_0(w)) \\ &= 2 \left[C_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^p B_i(-2 - t_i) \right) + A_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^q D_j(-2 - s_j) \right) + A_1C_1 \right] \\ &= 2 \left[-C_1 \left(\sum_{i=2}^p (B_{i-1} + t_iB_i + B_{i+1}) \right) - C_1B_1(1 + t_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - C_1B_2 - C_1B_p - A_1 \left(\sum_{j=2}^q (D_{j-1} + s_jD_j + D_{j+1}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - A_1D_1(1 + s_1) - A_1D_2 - A_1D_q + A_1C_1 \right] \\ &= -2 \left[-A_1C_1 + C_1B_1(1 + t_1) + A_1D_1(1 + s_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_1B_2 + A_1D_2 - C_1 - A_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the above computation, the last equality is the result of the equations $B_{i-1} + t_iB_i + B_{i+1} = 0 = D_{j-1} + s_jD_j + D_{j+1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 2, \dots, q$ and the fact that $B_p = D_q = -1$. Since $\frac{A_1}{B_1} = t_1 - \frac{B_2}{A_2}$ and $\frac{C_1}{D_1} = s_1 - \frac{D_2}{C_2}$ we have $B_2 = A_1 - t_1B_1$ and $D_2 = C_1 - s_1D_1$. Replacing these expressions for

B_2 and D_2 in equation 11 we obtain

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle n|n_N \rangle - \langle n|n_0 \rangle &= -2(A_1C_1 + C_1B_1 + A_1D_1 - A_1 - C_1) \\ &= 2(A_1 + C_1 - 1) = 2(a_1 + a_2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of lemma. \square

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.1. *If Y is a Seifert fibered integer homology sphere and $\widehat{\text{HF}}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$, then Y is the Poincaré sphere with one of the two possible orientations.*

Proof. We have already seen that if Y is a Seifert fibered integer homology sphere different from the Poincaré sphere and $\widehat{\text{HF}}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$, then Y (with one of the two orientations) may be realized as $Y(G)$ for a star-shape negative definite graph with vertices v and w_j^i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, p_i$, as in the second section. Moreover, we have seen that the weight function $m : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{<0}$ would be so that $m(v) = -1$. As before, assume that $m_j^i = m(w_j^i)$ and $q_i = a_i/b_i = [m_1^i; m_2^i; \dots; m_{p_i}^i]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, with $a_i > 0$, and $q_1 > q_2 > \dots > q_n$. Note that this data should satisfy the equation

$$(13) \quad 1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1} + \frac{b_2}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{b_n}{a_n} = \frac{1}{a_1 \dots a_n}.$$

If all m_1^i are less than -2 , choosing $n(v) = 1, n(w_1^i) \in [2 + m_1^i, -m_1^i - 4]$ and $n(w_j^i) \in [2 + m_j^i, -m_j^i - 2]$ for $j > 1$, we get an initial association which may be completed to a good sequence (n, n') , where $n'(v) = -1$, $n'(w_1^i) = n(w_1^i) + 2 \leq -m_1^i - 2$, and $n'(w_j^i) = n(w_j^i)$ for $j > 1$. Since all good sequences start from a unique initial association, we should have $2 + m_1^i = -m_1^i - 4$, and $2 + m_j^i = -m_j^i - 2$ for $j > 1$. This means that $m_1^i = -3$ and $m_j^i = -2$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j > 1$. We may thus compute $a_i = 2p_i + 1$ and $b_i = -p_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Equation 13 implies that

$$(14) \quad 1 - \left(\frac{p_1}{2p_1 + 1} + \frac{p_2}{2p_2 + 1} + \dots + \frac{p_n}{2p_n + 1} \right) > 0.$$

Since $p_i/(2p_i + 1) \geq 1/3$, the above inequality means that $n = 2$. But for $n = 2$, equation 13 implies that $1 - \frac{p_1}{2p_1 + 1} - \frac{p_2}{2p_2 + 1} = \frac{1}{(2p_1 + 1)(2p_2 + 1)}$ which is equivalent to $p_1 + p_2 = 0$. Since this is a contradiction, at least one of m_1^i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ should be equal to -2 . It is easy to see that $q_1 > \dots > q_n$ implies $i = 1$.

Let p and q be the largest numbers, so that for $A/B = [m_1^1, \dots, m_p^1]$ and $C/D = [m_1^2, \dots, m_q^2]$ we have $AC + AD + BC = 1$, i.e. the star-like negative definite graph with two rays corresponding to these two negative rational numbers is the standard sphere. There are unique negative integers t and s , so that the pairs of rational numbers $([m_1^1, \dots, m_p^1, t], [m_1^2, \dots, m_q^2])$,

$([m_1^1, \dots, m_p^1], [m_1^2, \dots, m_q^2, s])$, and $([m_1^1, \dots, m_p^1, t], [m_1^2, \dots, m_q^2, s])$ all correspond to standard spheres. If m_{p+1}^1 is greater than t , lemma 3.1 implies that

$$(15) \quad \frac{b_1}{a_1} + \frac{b_2}{a_2} \leq \frac{1}{[m_1^1; \dots; m_{p+1}^1]} + \frac{1}{[m_1^2; \dots; m_q^2]} \leq -1.$$

This contradicts equation 13 and the fact that $b_i/a_i < 0$, while $a_i > 0$. Similarly m_{q+1}^2 may not be greater than s . By the assumption on p and q , the equality can not happen too. Thus $m_{p+1}^1 < t$ and $m_{q+1}^2 < s$. Let G' be the negative definite star-like graph with two rays representing the standard sphere which corresponds to the pair of negative rational numbers $([m_1^1; \dots; m_p^1; t], [m_1^2; \dots; m_q^2; s])$.

Let $A/B = [m_1^1; m_2^1; \dots; m_p^1]$ and $C/D = [m_1^2; m_2^2; \dots; m_q^2]$. It is then easy to check that $a_1/b_1 \geq A/B$ and $a_2/b_2 \geq C/D$. Thus

$$1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1} + \frac{b_2}{a_2} \leq 1 + \frac{B}{A} + \frac{D}{C} = \frac{1}{AC}.$$

As a consequence, we should have $m_1^i \leq -AC - 1$ for all $i = 3, \dots, n$.

Since $Y(G)$ has trivial Heegaard Floer homology, we know that the initial association n defined by $n(w) = 2 + m(w)$ for all $w \in V(G)$ may be completed to a good sequence $n = n_0, n_1, \dots, n_N$, with the associated path of vertices w_1, \dots, w_N . Let k be the smallest integer with the property that $w_{k+1} \in \{w_j^i \mid i = 3, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, p_i\}$. In particular we assume

$$w_1, \dots, w_k \in \{w_j^i \mid i = 1, 2, j = 1, \dots, p_i\} \cup \{v\}.$$

This simply implies that $w_{k+1} \in \{w_1^i \mid i = 3, \dots, n\}$. Our first claim is that

$$\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \subset \mathcal{A} = \{w_1^1, \dots, w_p^1, w_1^2, \dots, w_q^2, v\}.$$

If this is not the case, let w_ℓ be the first element of this set which is not in \mathcal{A} . Thus, w_ℓ is one of the vertices in $\mathcal{B} = \{w_{p+1}^1, w_{q+1}^2\}$. The vertices in G' are in correspondence with the vertices in $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$, and we will abuse the notation by using the same names for its vertices. Construct the sequence $n'_0, \dots, n'_{\ell-1} : V(G') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by letting

$$(16) \quad n'_i(w) = \begin{cases} n_i(w) & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{A} \\ n_i(w_{p+1}^1) - m_{p+1}^1 + t & \text{if } w = w_{p+1}^1 \\ n_i(w_{q+1}^2) - m_{q+1}^2 + s & \text{if } w = w_{q+1}^2 \end{cases}$$

Note that n'_0 is the initial association in the unique good sequence for $S^3 = Y(G')$, and all the changes in the sequence $n'_0, \dots, n'_{\ell-1}$ are allowed changes. Since n'_0 is the initial association in a good sequence, $n'_{\ell-1}$ would satisfy $|n'_{\ell-1}(w)| \leq -m'(w)$ for all $w \in V(G')$, where m' is the weight function for G' . However, since $w_\ell \in \mathcal{B}$, we should have $n_{\ell-1}(w) = -m(w)$ for some $w \in \mathcal{B}$, say w_{p+1}^1 . But this implies that

$$n'_{\ell-1}(w_{p+1}^1) = -m_{p+1}^1 - m_{p+1}^1 + t > -t = |m'(w_{p+1}^1)|,$$

which is a contradiction, proving our first claim.

Let G'' be the negative definite star-like graph with two rays corresponding to the pair of negative rational numbers $([m_1^1; \dots; m_p^1], [m_1^2; \dots; m_q^2])$, identify the vertices of G'' with the elements of \mathcal{A} , and denote the weight function on the vertices of G'' by $m'' : V(G'') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Then we may restrict n_0, \dots, n_k to the vertices of G'' and think of them as associations for this negative definite graph, and of w_1, \dots, w_k as part of the sequence of vertices associated with the good sequence extending n_0, \dots, n_k (since they are all elements of $\mathcal{A} = V(G'')$). The number of times v appears in this sequence is less than or equal to the number of times v appears in the sequence of vertices associated with a good sequence associated with G'' . By lemma 3.2 this later number is equal to $A + C - 1$. Thus, $n_k(w_1^i) \leq m_1^i + 2A + 2C$ for all $i = 3, \dots, n$. If $w_{k+1} = w_1^i$ for some $i \geq 3$, we have $n_k(w_1^i) = -m_1^i$ and thus $m_1^i \geq -A - C$. But we already know that $m_1^i \leq -AC - 1$. Combining these two inequalities, we have $A + C \geq AC + 1$, which is a contradiction since $A, C \geq 2$. The only remaining possibility is that

$$\{w_1, \dots, w_N\} \subset \mathcal{A} = \{w_1^1, \dots, w_p^1, w_1^2, \dots, w_q^2, v\}.$$

If this is the case, by restricting the associations n_0, \dots, n_N to the vertices of G'' we obtain a good sequence $n_0'', \dots, n_N'' : V(G'') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, which would be a good sequence associated with $S^3 = Y(G'')$ extending a unique initial association. The number of times v appears in the sequence of vertices w_1, \dots, w_N is thus $A + C - 1$.

Let $n'_i : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 0, \dots, N$ be new associations defined by $n'_i(w_1^3) = n_i(w_1^3) + 2$ and $n'_i(w) = n_i(w)$ for $w \neq w_1^3$. Note that $n'_i(w_1^3) \leq m_1^3 + 2(A + C)$, since v appears at most $A + C - 1$ times in the sequence w_1, \dots, w_N . If $n'_i(w_1^3) \geq -m_1^3$, we would have $-m_1^3 \leq A + C$. This is a contradiction with what we proved earlier that $-m_1^3 \geq AC + 1$. Thus, all n'_i are in fact associations, and n'_N is a final association. This means that the sequence n'_0, \dots, n'_N is a good sequence starting from an initial association different from n_0 . So the rank of the kernel of $U : \text{HF}^+(Y(G)) \rightarrow \text{HF}^+(Y(G))$ is at least 2. This contradiction completes the proof of our main theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ef1] Eftekhar, E., Floer homology and existence of incompressible tori in homology spheres, *preprint, available at math.GT/0807.2326*
- [Ef2] Eftekhar, E., Floer homology and splicing knot complements, *preprint, available at math.GT/0802.2874*
- [Ef3] Eftekhar, E., A combinatorial approach to surgery formulas in Heegaard Floer homology, *to appear in Alg. and Geom. Topology, available at math.GT/0802.3623*
- [MT1] Morgan, J. W., Tian, G., Ricci Flow and the Poincaré Conjecture, *preprint, available at math.DG/0607607*.
- [MT2] Morgan, J. W., Tian, G., Completion of the Proof of the Geometrization Conjecture, *preprint, available at math.DG/0809.4040*.

- [OS1] Ozsváth, P., Szabó, Z., Holomorphic disks and topological invariants for closed three-manifolds, *Annals of Math.* (2) 159 (2004) no.3 *available at* math.SG/0101206
- [OS2] Ozsváth, P., Szabó, Z., Holomorphic disks and three-manifold invariants: properties and applications, *Annals of Math.* (2) 159 (2004) no.3, *available at* math.SG/0105202
- [OS3] Ozsváth, P., Szabó, Z., Holomorphic disks and knot invariants, *Advances in Math.* 189 (2004) no.1, *also available at* math.GT/0209056
- [OS4] Ozsváth, P., Szabó, Z., On the Floer homology of plumbed three-manifolds, *Geom. Topol.* 7 (2003), pp 185-224, *also available at* math.GT/0203265.
- [Per] Perelman, G., Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds, *preprint, available at* math.DG/0307245
- [SW] Sarkar, S., Wang, J., A combinatorial description of some Heegaard Floer homologies, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 169 (2009), no. 2, *also available at* math.GT/0607777
- [Sav] Saveliev, N., *Invariants for homology 3-spheres*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 140. Low-Dimensional Topology, I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [Thu] Thurston, W., Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, (1982), no. 3, 357-381.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES
(IPM), P. O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN

E-mail address: eaman@ipm.ir